

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION

In every conversation or talk-in-interaction, both ordinary and institutional talk follows systematic rules of turn taking. To involve the conversation, the participant must be able to recognize the rules of the conversation and to make the conversation become devote. This process of recognizing the turn taking rules may occur consciously and unconsciously but mostly unconsciously as usually happen. For example, when the conversation consists of two participants, they have to be aware of their turns; which means that each participant takes turn to speak, if not there is a potential conversation or communication that will break down from the conversation.

After conducting the analysis on Chapter 4, in total, I have analysed 88 data, and classified them into 12 types of adjacency pairs. The most common first pair is the announcement and the question. Thus, the majority dialogue of the Bad Boys For Life is started by an announcement, as a declaration from Marcus to Mike or Mike to Marcus. The conflict comes from the misjudgement and when the character mis-acknowledged each other.

The majority of the first pair can be paired appropriately, as 37 feedback can emit a proper response. But, 51 feedback is improper responses, as the response is answered improperly, that can tell a problem or a conflict is brewing. The most numerous second pair is answer, that consisted of 14 proper feedback. that means the question is can answered appropriately in the dialogue.

But, the most inappropriate response is the acknowledgement, that describes that the announcement from one speaker is not acknowledged appropriately at fourteen response of the times. The implication is that acts of speech as produced in the conversations vary. As for preferred responses of adjacency pairs, there were twelve structures which proved that each speaker has their own intention, need, and

interest during their speech turn within a conversation. One of the underlying reasons of the variation is habits and cultures of one or both speakers.

It is noticed that preference of responses in the second pair part is dependable and subjective. Two types of responses in adjacency pairs, preferred and dispreferred responses, are psychologically dependent and illogical as each speaker has different expectation. The results in this study are that conversations consist of complex structures. Conversation is highly meaningful that it is dependent upon habits and cultures of people who have different intention during a talk.

As a story of two Detective facing crime, and the background of African-American for both of the character makes the situation tense, and many curses was spoken throughout the movie script. But, after the conflict resolved, the adjacency pair becomes more and more coherent, and the dialogue becomes more paired, and it becomes more and more friendly in term.

After drawing conclusion, I would like to give some suggestion that are hopefully having a meaning for all lecturer and students especially in English Language and Culture Department and also general readers. I realize that this research is far from being perfect because of the research's knowledge.

For other research, this also can be used as references by other University students who are interested in literary study, as the dialogue on this movie is between two black characters, but not using AAVL (African-American vernacular language), but more clear and common language, so the Adjacency Pair also can be seen.

In the relation to the conclusion, I suggest for the readers to understand that analysis on linguistic is not only about linguistic features. Thus, they have to study language more deeply. It is expected that this research can be used as reference for the readers to add their knowledge dealing with language phenomena which emerges in society. This research can be used as reference to add the reader's knowledge and comprehension about conversation analysis especially adjacency

pairs. It is suggested that the others researcher conduct research related to the problem and given more explanation about being analysed. Therefore, the I also suggest to other researchers who conduct the similar research to not only focus on analysis adjacency pairs but also how the application of adjacency pairs in a conversation or analysis the other aspect of conversation analysis.

