FAILURES OF CONVERSATIONAL MAXIMS FOUND IN "THE QUEEN'S GAMBIT" SERIAL SCRIPT (2020)

By

Muhammad Dzikrulloh Al Ahda

2018130048

ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND CULTURE DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND CULTURE
DARMA PERSADA UNIVERSITY
JAKARTA
2022

FAILURES OF CONVERSATIONAL MAXIMS FOUND IN "THE QUEEN'S GAMBIT" SERIAL SCRIPT (2020)

Submitted in part-fulfillment of requirement for obtaining

Sarjana Linguistik (S. Li) degree

By

Muhammad Dzikrulloh Al Ahda

2018130048

ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND CULTURE DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND CULTURE
DARMA PERSADA UNIVERSITY
JAKARTA
2022

INTELECTUAL PROPERTY STATEMENT PAGE

I hereby declare that the term-paper is the result of my own work and not plagiarism of another work, the contents has become my responsibility. All the source of my research and quoted of referenced have been stated correctly.

Jakarta, July 21, 2022

(Muhammad Dzikrulloh Al Ahda)

LETTER OF APPROVAL

On recommendation of respective Supervisor, Academic Advisor, and Head of the Department, the term paper submitted by Muhammad Dzikrulloh entitled A Pragmatics Study of Conversational Implicature Found in "The Queen's Gambit" Serial Script (2020) has been approved and forwarded to English Language and Culture Department, Darma Persada University, for final evaluation before the thesis defense for obtaining *Sarjana Linguistik* (S.Li.) degree to the respective candidate.

Supervisor	**Signature**	**Date**
(Fridolini, S.S, M.Hum)	_____	Jakarta, July 21, 2022

Head of English Language and Culture Department	**Signature**	**Date**
(Dr. Yoga Pratama, S.S, M.Pd)	_____	Jakarta, July 21, 2022
Academic Advisor		
(Fridolini, S.S, M.Hum)	_____	Jakarta, July 21, 2022

Name	: Muhammad Dzikrulloh A.
Student Number	: 2018130048

TERM PAPER VALIDATION

On August 3, 2022, the term paper has been academically tested and defended in front of

THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Ones of whom sit as follow:

Advisor : .....
Fridolini, S.S., M.Hum.

Examiner : .....
Dra. Kurnia Idawati, M.Si.

Head Board of Examiners : .....
Nurul Fitriani, S.S., M.Hum.

Legally validated in Jakarta on August 12, 2022

Head of English Language and Culture Department,

Dr. Yoga Pratama, M. Pd

Dean of Faculty of Language and Culture

Dr. Diah Madubrangti S.S., M.Si

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise be to Allah SWT for His blessings and will, I can finally complete this term-paper, which entitled Failures of Conversational Maxims Found in "The Queen's Gambit" Serial Script (2020). This term-paper is submitted to the English Language and Culture Department, Darma Persada University, as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for obtaining the Strata One (S-1) degree.

I would like to present my gratitude towards all parties who have guided and supported me in finishing this term-paper. My gratitude is presented to those who have given their contribution in completion of this term-paper, which listed as follows:

1. Dr. Diah Madubrangti, S.S., M.Si. as Dean Faculty of Languange and Culture.
2. Dr. Yoga Pratama, M. Pd. as Head of English Language and Culture Department.
3. Fridolini S.S., M.Hum. as the advisor and the examiner who helped guide me in working this term paper.
4. All Lecturers in Darma Persada University who taught me the lesson until I get this far.
5. All Faculty staff in Darma Persada University who gave me the facilities for doing this term paper.
6. Above all, my beloved family, especially my mother Neneng Zubaidah and my father Nur'ain for support, motivation and care. I would like to express my best gratitude for their never-ending prayers and love. May Allah SWT bless them in everything they do. My religious teacher, Ustadz Nasim Adnan, has constantly reminded me of good things and prayers. Without all of them, I believe I would never have been able to complete this thesis.
7. My two close friends, Mia and Lisa, have given encouragement and support in completing this thesis. Also, thank you for the good memories we have spent during college and I pray for the good things in our life.
8. My college friends always help me when I have trouble writing my thesis,

namely Maya, Waqidahtul, and Rika. Thank you for the help and direction in technical writing and others. I would never have been able to finish this term paper without them all.

This research is still far from perfect, so I, as a researcher, am open to all criticisms and suggestions regarding the research I have done. Moreover, in the end, I hope this thesis can be helpful for students and further research related to linguistics study.

FAILURES OF CONVERSATIONAL MAXIMS FOUND IN "THE QUEEN'S GAMBIT" SERIAL SCRIPT 2020

Muhammad Dzikrulloh A.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to classify the types of conversational implicatures in the script resulting from the non-observance maxims committed by the characters of The Queen's Gambit series and then analyze the meaning of the implicatures in it. This study uses Grice's theory to analyze the conversational implicatures found in the dialogue. The research approach used in this study is qualitative. In conducting the research, the method used is descriptive qualitative because the results of the data analysis are in the form of descriptive phenomena such as words, utterances, and language. The object of this research is a series on Netflix entitled "The Queen's Gambit." The data in this study were collected in data cards by watching the series and taking notes on the dialogues that are expected to be implicatures. Then the selected data will be analyzed one by one using theories related to the research. The results of this study are as follows; there are 58 kinds of non-observance maxims found in conversation. Namely, 25 flouting the maxim of manner, 14 flouting the maxim of relation, 10 flouting the maxim of quantity, 2 violating the maxim of quality, 5 violating the maxim of quality, 1 violating the maxim of relation, and 1 violating the maxim of manner. There are also 2 types of conversational implicatures, namely 1 generalized conversational implicatures and 50 particularized conversational implicatures. All data is explained based on contextual meaning.

Keywords: Conversational Implicature, The Queen's Gambit, Non-observance maxims, Series, Script

FAILURES OF CONVERSATIONAL MAXIMS FOUND IN "THE QUEEN'S GAMBIT" SERIAL SCRIPT (2020)

Muhammad Dzikrulloh A.

ABSTRAK

Skripsi ini bertujuan untuk mengklasifikasikan jenis-jenis implikatur percakapan dalam naskah yang dihasilkan dari pelanggaran maksim yang dilakukan oleh para karakter series The Queen's Gambit dan kemudian menganalisis makna implikatur di dalamnya. Penelitian ini menggunakan teori prinsip kerjasama Grice untuk menganalisis implikatur percakapan yang ditemukan dalam dialog. Pendekatan penelitian yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah pendekatan kualitatif. Dalam melakukan penelitian, metode yang digunakan adalah deksriptif kualitatif, karena hasil data analisis berupa fenomena deskriptif seperti kata, ujaran dan bahasa. Objek penelitian ini adalah series di Netflix yang berjudul "The Queen's Gambit". Data dalam penelitian ini dikumpulkan dalam kartu data, dengan cara menonton series dan mencatat dialog-dialog yang diperkirakan sebagai implikatur. Kemudian data yang terpilih akan dianalisis satu per satu dengan menggunakan teori yang berhubungan dengan penelitian. Hasil penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut; terdapat 58 jenis ketidak-taatan maksim yang ditemukan dalam percakapan. Ada 25 pelanggaran maksim cara,14 pelanggaran maksim relasi, 10 pelanggaran maksim kuantiti, 2 pelanggaran maksim kualitas, 5 melanggar maksim kualitas, 1 melanggar maksim relasi, dan 1 melanggar maksim cara. Terdapat juga 2 tipe percakapan implikatur, yaitu 1 percakapan umum dan 50 percakapan khusus. Seluruh data dijelaskan berdasarkan makna kontekstual.

Kata Kunci: Percakapan Implikatur, The Queen's Gambit, Ketidak-taatan Maksim, Seri, Naskah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTELECTUAL PROPERTY STATEMENT PAGE i

LETTER OF APPROVAL ii

TERM PAPER VALIDATION iii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT iv

ABSTRACT vi

***ABSTRAK*..... vii**

TABLE OF CONTENTS viii

LIST OF TABLES x

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 Background of the Problem 1
1.2 Identification of the Problem 2
1.3 Limitation of the Problem 3
1.4 Formulation of the Problem 3
1.5 Objective of the Research 4
1.6 Benefit of the Research 4
1.7 Systematic Organization of the Research 5

CHAPTER 2: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK 7

2.1 Pragmatics 7
2.2 Cooperative Principles 8
2.3 The Non-observance Maxims 10
2.3.1 Flouting the Maxims 10
2.3.2 Violating the Maxims 12
2.3.3 Opting Out the Maxims 14
2.4 Implicature 15
2.4.1 Conventional Implicature 16
2.4.2 Conversational Implicature 17
2.5 Context 18
2.6 Previous Related Studies 18

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD 21

3.1 Time and Location 21

3.2 Research Approach and Method 21

3.3 Research Object and Data 22

3.4 Data Collection Technique 23

3.5 Data Analysis Technique 23

CHAPTER 4: FAILURES OF CONVERSATIONAL MAXIMS FOUND IN "THE QUEEN'S GAMBIT" SERIAL SCRIPT (2020) 25

4.1 The Conversational Maxims Being Non-Observance in The Dialogue of "The Queen's Gambit" Series. 25

4.2 The Analysis of Contextual Meanings of Each Non-observance Maxims ..26

4.2.1 Flouting The Maxims 26

4.2.2 Violating The Maxims 66

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION 73

REFERENCES 75

APPENDIX 1: SCHEME OF THE RESEARCH 78

APPENDIX 2: POSTER OF THE RESEARCH 79

APPENDIX 3: PRESENTATION SLIDES 80

APPENDIX 4: TOEIC CERTIFICATE 84

APPENDIX 5: CERTIFICATES 85

APPENDIX 6: TERM PAPER COUNSELLING FORM 86

APPENDIX 7: CURRICULUM VITAE 88

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1 Data Display.....23
Table 4. 1. Non-observance Maxims in “The Queen’s Gambit”.....25
Table 4. 2. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....26
Table 4. 3. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....27
Table 4. 4. Flouting Maxim of Quantity and Relation.....28
Table 4. 5. Flouting Maxim of Quantity.....29
Table 4. 6. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....30
Table 4. 7. Flouting Maxim of Manner and Quantity.....30
Table 4. 8. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....31
Table 4. 9. Flouting Maxim of Quality.....32
Table 4. 10. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....33
Table 4. 11. Flouting Maxim of Quantity.....34
Table 4. 12. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....34
Table 4. 13. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....35
Table 4. 14. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....36
Table 4. 15. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....37
Table 4. 16. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....38
Table 4. 17. Flouting Maxim of Manner and Relation.....39
Table 4. 18. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....40
Table 4. 19. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....41
Table 4. 20. Flouting Maxim of Quantity.....42
Table 4. 21. Flouting Maxim of Manner and Relation.....43
Table 4. 22. Flouting Maxim of Quantity.....44
Table 4. 23. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....45
Table 4. 24. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....46
Table 4. 25. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....47
Table 4. 26. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....48
Table 4. 27. Flouting Maxim of Manner and Quantity.....49
Table 4. 28. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....50
Table 4. 29. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....51

Table 4. 30. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....52
Table 4. 31. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....53
Table 4. 32. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....54
Table 4. 33. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....55
Table 4. 34. Flouting Maxim of Quantity and Relation.....55
Table 4. 35. Flouting Maxim of Quantity.....56
Table 4. 36. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....57
Table 4. 37. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....58
Table 4. 38. Flouting Maxim of Quality.....58
Table 4. 39. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....59
Table 4. 40. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....60
Table 4. 41. Flouting Maxim of Quantity.....61
Table 4. 42. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....62
Table 4. 43. Flouting Maxim of Manner and Relation.....63
Table 4. 44. Flouting Maxim of Relation.....64
Table 4. 45. Flouting Maxim of Manner.....65
Table 4. 46. Violating Maxim of Relation.....66
Table 4. 47. Violating Maxim of Quality.....67
Table 4. 48. Violating Maxim of Manner.....68
Table 4. 49. Violating Maxim of Quality.....69
Table 4. 50. Violating Maxim of Quality.....70
Table 4. 51. Violating Maxim of Quality.....71
Table 4. 52. Violating Maxim of Quality.....72