

### Review Form

#### Section I

Manuscript no.	ED72-94
Title of the paper	<b><i>ANALYSIS FACTORS SATISFACTION WORK EMPLOYEES AT THE OFFICE OF THE MANAGEMENT APARTMENT CASABLANCA EAST TO RESIDENCES</i></b>

#### Section II

General comment	<p><i>DupliCheck Score- Duplicate content &lt;though in unpublished form&gt; detected at <a href="http://bic2018.confgate.org/kfz/abstract/8">http://bic2018.confgate.org/kfz/abstract/8</a> authored by Sukardi (a*) Dinda Ayu Fitriah. If current author/ coauthor is same, then only proceed. Else, please reject the paper as a case of plagiarism.</i></p> <p><b>Editor's comment:</b> <i>The above content belongs to current author only. Not a case of plagiarism.</i></p> <p><i>Readability index- Good</i></p> <p><i>Relevancy- Good</i></p> <p>....</p> <p><b>The paper shall be accepted with minor revision(s):</b></p>
Abstract	<p>Abstract is informative.</p> <p><b>Just 3 keywords are not sufficient for proper indexing. So add 2-3 more keywords.</b></p>
Introduction	Objective of the study properly stated. Proper in-text citations made.
Literature Review	Satisfactory to substantiate research propositions.
Methodology	Population and sampling duly discussed. Data collection instrument is reasonably sound. Analytical approach adopted is satisfactory.
Results	Both descriptive and inferential statistics properly applied. Through inferences are drawn and unbiased commentary in made.
Conclusion	<b>At last, discuss in brief "scope for further studies".</b>
References	Proper APA referencing style used.

#### Section III

Please select a grade from the following scale: (1=very poor), (2=poor), (3=average), (4=good), (5=excellent).

	<b>1 to 5</b>
--	---------------

<b>1. Style</b> Clear formulation, Well written using proper words	3.25
<b>2. Fit to the scope of the Journal</b> The paper fits in the areas covered by the journal	5
<b>3. Knowledge stage is well documented</b> relevant and actual references, discussion based on papers cited	4
<b>3. Methodology</b>	4
<b>4. The quality of the data</b> relevance and reliability of the data	4.25
<b>5. Results</b> conformed with the mainstream current, personal ideas, pertinent conclusions	4
<b>6. Originality and scientific contribution</b>	3.5

#### Section IV

Please formulate a recommendation (highlighted)

Accepted for publication	
Accepted for publication with minor revisions	<i>Formulate recommendations for revision:</i>
Accepted for publication with major revisions	<i>Formulate recommendations for revision:</i>
The paper is rejected	<i>Justify decision:</i>